Chapter 10v Identification of Schools for Improvement

Overview

To align identification of schools for improvement the Every Student Succeeds Act (ES/8A) the • š š [• } μ v š] o] š Ç • Ç • š u U d μ š | doninai n pěrzorman de jovi en sir v ' % • comprehensive support and improvement (C\$) argeted support and improvement (T\$) indadditional targeted support (ATS) schools.

Targeted Support and Improvement Identification

TEA use closing the Gaps doma q 0 0 61g / TT0 1006TB9mS3eMC ET / Spr 610 1006TB9mS3il49Closing the C

CSthat has at least one consistently underperforming student group is identified for TSITSI identifies both Title I and notifiel I campuses are evaluated annually for TSI identification.

Minimum Size

In order to be considered when evaluating campuses it dentification, student groups must meet the following minimum size requirements. When a student group is not evaluated because it does not meet minimum size, the count of consecutive years refuerts hat student group.

Each student group must hat reading language arts (RLA) nd 10 mathematics assessment results for evaluation in the Academic Achievement component. If a student group does not meet minimum size in Academic Achievement, it considered when evaluating the campus for identification former minimum size of 25 remains in effect for 2019 and 2022 data. The minimum size of 10 applies to 2023 and beyond.

Students Evaluated

In La Egnment witcsats Evaluated

) by May12, 2023, are used to determindemographics for accountability

purposes.

- x African American
- x American Indian
- x Asian
- x Hispanic
- x Pacific Islander
- x White
- x Two or more races
- x Economically disadvantaged
- x CurrentSpecial education
- x Emergent bilingual (EB) students/English learners(EL)
- x ContinuouslyEnrolled(beginning with 2023)
- x Former Speciælducation(beginning with 2023)

Chapter 10 Identification of Schools for Improvement

The continuously enrolled and former special education groups valuated for TSI for the first time in $\hat{i}\hat{i}\hat{i}X dZ \bullet \hat{s}\hat{A} PCE\}\mu ... \bullet \mu o ... \bullet \hat{s} v \hat{s} o C \quad v \hat{s} (1 \bullet \hat{s} v \hat{s}) \bullet \hat{s} v \hat{s} OC \quad v \hat{s} (1 \bullet \hat{s} v \hat{s}) \bullet \hat{s} v \hat{s} OC \quad v \hat{s} OC \quad$

Example Campus Identified for Targeted Support and Improvement

In the following example, this campus would be identified for TSI based on the performance of the white student group. This group met minimum size in and missed the same three evaluated indicators for three consecutive years: Academic Achievement (RLA), Academic vement (RLA), and SQSSTAAR Only.

	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	EB (Current & Monitored	Special Education (Current)	Special Education (Former)	Continuously Enrolled
--	---------------------	----------	-------	--------------------	-------	---------------------	-------------------------	----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------

2023Accountability Manual	

Comprehensive Support and Improvement Identification

To identify schools for CSI, TEA annually ranks all Title I campuses based on Closing the Gaps scaled scores. TEA determines the bottofive petroecolosiodesing the Gaps outdoes by by Pranklord or degrification Q q 0 0 6 scaled scores of Title I campuses by school type mentary, middle, high school/tk2, and alternative education accountability. TEA then determines which campuses fell in the bottom five theorem is school type.

When Identified	SY 202 2 23	SY 202324	SY2024t25
Fall2022	ATS (Year 1)		
Fall2023		ATS (Year 2)	
Fall2024			CSI
			(Third Identification)