Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of Firstear Teachers

SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

This report presents results from the principal survey of beginning teachers. In this survey, principals describe the preparation of new teachers in six categories of practices. Principals complete surveys for first eachers who graduated at any time during the 5 years prior to the reporting period and who taught in the Texasions for a minimum of 5 months during the reporting period. Teachers on both standard and probationary certificates are included.

Percentages note the percent of teachers prepared by an EPP who received an average score of SufficientlyoPWpHred Prepared when all applicable questions were averaged, and within each of the six categories. The overall percentage serves as indicator 2 of the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The generic within each category are Consumer Information, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths in different areas and the way the overall indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expected to av to the overall proficiency standard. For details about the survey; https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8

Indicator 2 Outcomes

EPP Score82

Met Standard 2: S0.011e04 58.56r

2016-17 Percentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared SOUTHWESTERN UNIVER® (SNI∓M)

Classroom Environment	EPP	State			
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	82%	82%			
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encouradisciplifne and self directed learning	91%	84%			
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment					
Tobuild and maintain positive rapport with students	91%	92%			
To build and maintain positive rapport and twoay communication with students' families					
Instruction	EPP	State			
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	91%	86%			
To respond to he needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction					
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction					
To engage and motivate students through leargentered instruction	82%	87%			
To integrate effective modelinguestioning, and selfeflection (selfassessment) strategies into instruction	91%	87%			
To assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)					
To set clear learning goals and align instruction with standaased content	82%	89%			
To provide qualityand timely feedback to students	91%	90%			
Students with Disabilities	EPP	State			
To differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities	88%	84%			
To differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities	88%	82%			
Toprovide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning	88%	87%			
To understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special educationeservic	88%	91%			
To make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedureset the learning needs of students who have an IEP	88%	88%			
To develop and/or implement formal and informal assessment that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives	88%	86%			
To collaborate with others, such as paraducators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities	88%	91%			
English Language Learners	EPP	State			

Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from 2015 -

SOUTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Performance Standard: 70% (Reporting purposes only for-20)1%%%

	Ν	201415	Ν	2015-16	Ν	2016-17
Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of Firstear Teachers	11	87%	6	100%	11	82%
Classroom Environment						