## Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP)

Indicator 2: Principal Appraial of FirstW003 Tw 0.31 0 Q urm0(di) (i)9 (pai)9 (0(di) c)

for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The expercentagormation, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expectically avoidetails about the survey; hitsits://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8

## 2016-17 Pecentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared

## LETOURNEAU UNIVER\$(N=23)

Classroom Environment	EPP	State
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	74%	82%
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that one of the other or that of the other or that of the other or the other or that other or that other or the	78%	84%
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment	87%	89%
To build and maintain positive rapport with students	91%	92%
To build and maintain poswie rapport and twoway communication with students' families	91%	89%
Instruction	EPP	State
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	78%	86%
To respond to the needs of students by being flexible structional approach and differentiating instruction	78%	86%
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction	78%	86%

To engage and motivate students through learmentered instruction

Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from **2015** – LETOURNEAU UNIVERSITY