

# Texas Resource Review (TRR)

## Science Grades 9–12

Purpose

Structure

Categories

Category	Description
----------	-------------

	Number of Indicators	Total Possible Points	Display on Report
	N/A	N/A	% TEKS and ELPS

[Redacted]

2. Instructional Anchor

[Redacted]

## Scoring Methodology

## Science Grades 9–12 Scoring

### Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills and English Language Proficiency Standards-Alignment Review

student use

intended for teacher use

intended for

All materials must be reviewed for standards alignment.

Category	Student TEKS %	Teacher TEKS %	Student ELPS %	Teacher ELPS %
----------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------



## Knowledge Coherence

Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
-------------------	------------------	---------

3.1 Materials are designed to build knowledge systematically, coherently, and accurately.

## Productive Struggle

Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
-------------------	------------------	---------

4.1 Materials provide opportunities for students to engage in productive struggle through sensemaking that involves reading, writing, thinking, and acting as scientists and engineers.

- Materials consistently support students' meaningful sensemaking through reading, writing, thinking, and acting as scientists and engineers.
- Materials provide multiple opportunities for students to engage with grade-level appropriate scientific texts to gather evidence and develop understanding of concepts.
-



## Progress Monitoring

Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
<p><b>6.1</b> Materials include a variety of TEKS-aligned and developmentally appropriate assessments.</p> <p>Materials include</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials include a range of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments that include formal and informal assessments.</li> <li>Materials assess all student expectations and indicate which student expectations are assessed.</li> <li>Materials include assessments that are aligned to the TEKS and designed to measure student understanding of the TEKS.</li> </ul>	



Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
<p>7.1 Materials include guidance, scaffolds, supports, and extensions that maximize student learning potential.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials provide recommended targeted instruction and activities to scaffold learning for students who have not yet achieved mastery.</li> <li>Materials provide enrichment activities for all levels of learners.</li> <li>Materials provide scaffolds and guidance for just-in-time</li> </ul>	

## Implementation Supports

Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
<p><b>8.1</b> Materials include year-long plans with practice and review opportunities that support instruction.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials are accompanied by a TEKS-aligned scope and sequence outlining the order in which knowledge and skills are taught and built in the course materials.</li> <li>Material provides teacher clarity in facilitating students in making connections between core concepts and scientific and</li> </ul>	

## Design Features

Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
<p><b>9.1</b> The visual design of materials is clear and easy to understand.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials include an appropriate amount of white space and a design that supports and does not distract from student learning.</li> <li>Materials embed age-appropriate pictures and graphics that support student learning and engagement without being visually distracting.</li> <li>Materials are free of technical errors.</li> </ul>	<p>Not Scored</p>
<p><b>9.2</b> Materials are intentionally designed to engage and support student learning with the integration of digital technology.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Materials integrate digital technology and tools that support student learning and engagement without being distracting.</li> <li>Materials integrate digital technology in ways that support student engagement with the science and engineering practices, and course-specific content.</li> <li>Materials integrate digital technology that provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate.</li> <li>Materials integrate digital technology that is compatible with a variety of learning management systems.</li> </ul>	<p>Not Scored</p>
<p><b>9.3</b> Digital technology or online components are developmentally and course-appropriate and provide support for learning.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Digital technology and online components are developmentally appropriate for the course and align with the scope and approach to science knowledge and skills progression.</li> <li>Materials provide teacher guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning.</li> <li>Materials are available to parents and caregivers to support student engagement.</li> </ul>	<p>Not Scored</p>

## Additional Information: Technology, Price, Professional Learning, and Additional Language Supports

Science Indicator	Science Guidance	Scoring
10.1 Technology Specifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technology Specifications form from the publisher is available.</li> </ul>	Not Scored
10.2 Price Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Price Information form from the publisher is available.</li> </ul>	Not Scored
10.3 Professional Learning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Professional Learning form from the publisher is available.</li> </ul>	Not Scored
10.4 Additional Language Supports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Additional Language Supports form from the publisher is available.</li> </ul>	Not Scored
10.5 Accessibility Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accessibility Requirements form from the publisher is available.</li> </ul>	Not Scored
10.6 Evidence-Based Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information regarding the program's evidence-based information is available.</li> </ul>	Not Scored



## Appendix

### Science (K–12)

The Texas Resource Review Science (K–12) rubric was developed in collaboration with science content experts at TEA, independent science content experts, key stakeholders, and in alignment with other